JUN 2 1 2005 J

AF/17/1 Ign

PTO/SB/21 (09-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

nder the Paserwork Reduction Act of 1995, no person	U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.				
HAUL	Application Number	10/053,659			
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date	01-24-2002			
FORM	First Named Inventor	Kazushi TORII			

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

Fee Transmittal Form

Amendment/Reply

 $\overline{m{\prime}}$ 

Fee Attached

After Final

Extension of Time Request

Express Abandonment Request

Information Disclosure Statement

Certified Copy of Priority

Reply to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application

Document(s)

Affidavits/declaration(s)

Reply to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53

	First Named Inventor	Kazushi TORII					
	Art Unit	1711					
ı	Examiner Name	A. Wood	ward				
	Attorney Docket Number	43379					
NCLOSURES (Check all that apply)							
	Drawing(s)  Licensing-related Papers  Petition  Petition to Convert to a  Provisional Application  Power of Attorney, Revocation  Change of Correspondence A  Ferminal Disclaimer  Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)  Landscape Table on CD	n ddress		After Allowance Communication to TC  Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences  Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)  Proprietary Information  Status Letter  Other Enclosure(s) (please Identify below):  aration Under 37 C.F.R. 1.132			
L Remar				i de la companya de l			
				1			

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT Firm Name Roylance, Abrams, Berdo & Goodman, L.L.P. (Customer No. 001609) Signature Garrett V. Davis Date 06-21-2005 Reg. No. 32,023

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this corresufficient postage as first clathe date shown below:	spondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the s mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 14	ne United States Postal Service with 450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on				
Signature						
Typed or printed name	D	Pate				

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.11 and1.14. This collection is estimated to 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.



43379

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Kazushi TORII et al. : Art Unit: 1711

Serial No.: 10/053,659 : Examiner: A. Woodward

Filed: January 24, 2002

For: WATER-ABSORBING AGENT AND

PRODUCTION PROCESS THEREFOR, AND:

WATER-ABSORBENT STRUCTURE

## **RESPONSE**

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

This is in response to the March 21, 2005 Office Action.

In the Action, claims 48-50, 53-56, 59-63, 66-70, 73, 78-81 and 86-89 are rejected. In view of the following comments, reconsideration and allowance are requested.

## The Rejection

The claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by, or in the alternative under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious over WO 95/22358. For the reasons discussed herein, the claims are not anticipated by or obvious over WO '358.

The rejection is based on the position that WO '358 discloses water-absorbent materials prepared from essentially the same components in the same proportions as the claimed invention. Thus, the Action suggests that the resulting product of WO '358 and the claimed invention reasonably appear to be identical or only slightly different. The Action further